

Co-training and Visualizing Sentiment Evolvement for Tweet Events

Shenghua Liu
Institute of Computing
Technology, CAS
Beijing, China 100190
liushenghua@ict.ac.cn

Wenjun Zhu, Ning Xu
Wuhan University of
Technology, Wuhan, Hubei,
China 430070
zwenjun@126.com,
xuning@whut.edu.cn

Fangtao Li
Google Inc.
Beijing, China 100084
fangtao06@gmail.com

Xue-qi Cheng, Yue Liu,
Yuanzhuo Wang
Institute of Computing
Technology, CAS
Beijing, China 100190
{cxq, liuyue,
wangyuanzhuo}@ict.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

Sentiment classification on tweet events attracts more interest in recent years. The large tweet stream stops people reading the whole classified list to understand the insights. We employ the co-training framework in the proposed algorithm. Features are split into text view features and non-text view features. Two Random Forest (RF) classifiers are trained with the common labeled data on the two views of features separately. Then for each specific event, they collaboratively and periodically train together to boost the classification performance. At last, we propose a “river” graph to visualize the intensity and evolvement of sentiment on an event, which demonstrates the intensity by both color gradient and opinion labels, and the ups and downs of confronting opinions by the river flow. Comparing with the well-known sentiment classifiers, our algorithm achieves consistent increases in accuracy on the tweet events from TREC 2011 Microblogging and our database. The visualization helps people recognize turning and bursting patterns, and predict sentiment trend in an intuitive way.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

I.2.7 [Natural Language Processing]: *Text analysis*.

General Terms

Algorithms, Design, Experimentation.

Keywords

co-training, sentiment analysis, visualization, Microblog events

1. INTRODUCTION

The booming Twitter service attracts more people to post their feelings and opinions on some trending topics or events online. Sentiment analysis plays an import role to help people understand that. Recent sentiment analysis studies show many interests in large-scale tweets or blogs [1-3]. Some studies [2, 3] especially focus on the sentiment evolvement of tweet events.

However, with poorly designed sentiment visualization, it prevents people to grasp the insights, without reading the large classified list of unstructured tweets. The opinion triangle and ring [4] used periodic pattern, which is not applicable to visualize the sentiment evolvement of event series. Alper et al. Hao et al. [5] used pixel cell-based sentiment calendars and high density geo maps for visualization. Nevertheless, those visualizations cannot show the dynamics and trend of sentiment over time series.

This paper is supported by NSF of China (No. 61202213, 61173008, 61232010), NGFR 973 Program of China (No. 2013CB329602), and National Science supported planning (No. 2012BAH39B02).

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

WWW 2013 Companion, May 13-17, 2013, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

ACM 978-1-4503-2038-2/13/05.

In our algorithm, we employ co-training framework [6], and two sentiment classifiers with different view of features are collaboratively and periodically trained on tweet stream. In the visualization phase, we propose a “river” graph to intuitively show the sentiment classification results for a tweet event.

2. CO-TRAINING

Unlike the product reviews usually companied with a scoring mechanism that quantifies the overall sentiment, tweets lack labeled data. It is a labor-intensive task to manually label a large number of tweets, thus we can only annotate a small set of them, and use the semi-supervised method to utilize the unlabeled ones to boost the performance. Meanwhile, since a tweet is extremely short, it is necessary to extract more features. Besides the traditional textual features, we also need to explore the non-textual features.

Based on the above observations, we design a two-view semi-supervised method for sentiment classification on tweets, which employs the co-training framework. We start to train the classifiers C_1 and C_2 them on a common set of labeled tweets L , and two views of features separately. Then for every specific event, C_1 and C_2 classify the incoming tweets in a time period t_1 , and select confident ones to augment the labeled set L . And we select the p positive tweets and n negative ones, when the classifiers agree most. Several iterations of co-training are executed, and output final classification results by multiplying the scores from both classifiers. In the next period, with last trained classifiers C_1 and C_2 , we continue the co-training iteratively and classifying next tweets in stage t_2 . Finally, we obtain the weights $p(t)$, $m(t)$, and $n(t)$ for each incoming tweet t , which denote the probabilities that tweet t belongs to the positive, neutral and negative classes.

Features are split into two views, i.e. textual feature and non-textual feature. The textual feature, PMI-IR [7] for each sentiment word w , is computed as:

$$\text{PMI-IR}(w) = \log_2 \left[\frac{\text{hits}(w \text{ NEAR } "excellent")\text{hits}("poor")}{\text{hits}(w \text{ NEAR } "poor")\text{hits}("excellent")} \right], w \in P$$

where $\text{hits}(\bullet)$ is the number of the query results, and P denotes the sentiment dictionary. Here, we use WordNet Affect [8] for sentiment words. Non-textual features include emoticons, temporal features, and punctuation. A set of emoticons from Wikipedia are collected as a dictionary, such as :-), :), (>_<), >:[, :-(:, etc. People tend to act differently in the morning and the noon, the beginning and ending of a week or month, spring and winter, etc. Thus we classify the post time into different hours, dates, day of week and months as temporal features. Punctuation marks such as exclamation mark (!), question mark (?), express the emotional intensity. Thus the term

